1	KEVIN V. RYAN (CSBN 118321) United States Attorney
2	EUMI L. CHOI (WVBN 0722) Chief, Criminal Division
3 4	CHRISTINA HUA (CSBN 185358)
5	CYNTHIA STIER (DCBN 423256) Assistant United States Attorneys
6	450 Golden Gate Avenue, Box 36055 San Francisco, California 94102-3495 Telephone: (415) 436-7534
7	FAX: (415) 436-7234 Attorneys for Plaintiff
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION
11	
12	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, ) No. CR 06-0144 JSW
13	Plaintiff,
14	v. )
15	) STIPULATION AND
16	RENATO MENDOZA MEDINA, a/k/a Rene Mendoza Medina, PHYLLIS REYES CUISON,  (PROPOSED) ORDER REGARDING EXCLUSION OF TIME
17	a/k/a Phyllis Cundangan Reyes, and ) RAWLIN CUNDANGAN REYES, )
18	Defendants.
19	<u> </u>
20	The defendants came before the Court for a status/trial setting appearance on April 20, 2006.
21	The matter was set over until June 15, 2006 at 2:30 p.m. before the Court for a status hearing.
22	The parties agreed, and the Court found, that the time between April 20, 2006 through June 15,
23	2006 is properly excluded under the Speedy Trial Act, Title 18, United States Code, Sections
24	3161(h)(8)(A) and (h)(B)(ii) and (iv). The parties agree, and the Court previously found that,
25	that the case was complex, due to the nature of the crime, the number of different entities, bank
26	accounts, and identities involved, and the large amount of discovery which the defense needs to
27	review. The defendants represented that this delay is necessary so that they can review discovery
28	and hire an expert to analyze the various bank accounts. Due to the complexity of this matter and
	the need for the defendants to prepare their case by using an expert to analyze the various

1	accounts, failure to grant the requested continuance would unreasonably deny the defense the
2	reasonable time necessary for effective preparation. The parties agree that the continuance from
3	April 20, 2006 through June 15, 2006 is also necessary due to continuity of defense counsel,
4	given the need for defense counsel to spend time preparing the instant case and other cases
5	during this time period. The parties agree that the ends of justice are served by granting the
6	requested continuance outweigh the best interest of of the public and the defendants in a speedy
7	trial.
8	DATED: 4/25/06  /S/ Christina Hua CHRISTINA HUA Assistant United States Attorney
10 11	DATED: 4/21/06  /S/ Cristina C. Arguedas CRISTINA C. ARGUEDAS Counsel for Renato Mendoza Medina
12	
13	DATED: 4/25/06  /S/ Lidia Stiglich LIDIA STIGLICH
14	Counsel for Rawlin Cundangan Reyes
15	DATED: 4/24/06 /S/ Ann C. Moorman
16	ANN MOORMAN Counsel for Phyllis Reyes Cuison
17	
18	ORDER  For the foregoing reasons, and as stated on the record at the April 20, 2006 hearing in this
19	matter, the Court HEREBY ORDERS the period between April 20, 2006 and June 15, 2006 is
20	excluded from the speedy trial calculation under Title 18, United States Code, Sections
21	3161(h)(8)(A) and (h)(B)(ii) and (iv). The Court finds that the failure to grant the requested
22	continuance would unreasonably deny defense counsel the reasonable time necessary for
23 24	effective preparation, given the complexity of this case and the need for continuity of counsel.
25	The Court finds that the ends of justice served by granting the requested continuance outweigh
26	the best interest of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial and in the prompt disposition of
27	criminal cases.
28	IT IS SO ORDERED.
20	DATED: April 27, 2006